ASYMPTOTIC DETECTION PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF THE ROBUST ADAPTIVE NORMALIZED MATCHED FILTER

Jean-Philippe Ovarlez^{1,3}, Frédéric Pascal² and Arnaud Breloy¹

¹CENTRALESUPELEC/SONDRA, Plateau du Moulon, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, ²CENTRALESUPELEC/L2S, Plateau du Moulon, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ³ONERA, DEMR/TSI, Chemin de la Hunière, F-91120 Palaiseau, France

GOAL OF THE PAPER

Let us considering the following binary hypotheses test:

$$\begin{array}{ll} H_0: & \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c}, & \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_N \\ H_1: & \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c} + \alpha \, \mathbf{p}, & \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_N \end{array}$$

where $\{c_i\}_{i\in[1,N]}$ are N signal free secondary data, \pmb{p} a known steering vector and where α is the unknown amplitude of the target to be detected.

The goal of this paper is to **derive** the asymptotic distributions of the robust **Adaptive Normalized Matched Filter** (ANMF) **built with any** *M***-estimator** under both H₀ and H₁ hypotheses when the additive noise is modeled by **Complex Elliptically Symmetric** (**CES**) distributions:

$$H\left(\hat{\mathbf{M}}\right) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}^{H} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \, \mathbf{y}|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{p}^{H} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{p}\right) \left(\mathbf{y}^{H} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \, \mathbf{y}\right)} \overset{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{0}}{\gtrless}} \lambda.$$

MODEL OF THE BACKGROUND

Complex Elliptically Symmetric Distributions [Kelker 70, Olilla 03]:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{c}) = |\mathbf{M}|^{-1} h_m \left(\mathbf{c}^H \, \mathbf{M}^{-1} \, \mathbf{c} \right)$$

♀ c is a random complex *m*-vector characterizing each pixel of the SAR image,

 $\oplus h_m(.)$, usually called density generator, is assumed to be known,

 $_{\odot}$ This model takes into account the **spatial heterogeneity** and/or **non-Gaussianity** of the noise (from cell to cell, pixel to pixel) by the texture PDF *p*(τ)

 $^{\odot}$ The scatter matrix **M** can model the temporal fluctuations structure of the noise (ICM), the correlation between polarimetric/interferometric channels, the correlation existing within the spectral bands, ...

ROBUST ESTIMATION OF THE BACKGROUND PARAMETERS

For an unknown but deterministic texture parameter, the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) \dot{M}_{FP} of the Covariance M, called the Fixed Point or Tyler's *M*-estimator, is the solution of the following implicit equation:

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{FP} = \frac{m}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{c}_k \, \mathbf{c}_k^H}{\mathbf{c}_k^H \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{FP}^{-1} \, \mathbf{c}_k}$$

The Fixed Point belongs to the family of <u>M-estimators</u> (Robust Statistics [Huber 1964, Maronna 1976, Yohai 2006]) in the more general context of CES distributions:

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u\left(\mathbf{c}_{k}^{H} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \, \mathbf{c}_{k}\right) \mathbf{c}_{k} \, \mathbf{c}_{k}^{H}$$

 \mathcal{Q} u(.) is a weighting function acting on the quadratic form,

- Existence and uniqueness of the solution have been proven provided u(.) satisfy given conditions [Maronna 1976],
- Robust to outliers, to the presence of strong targets or high impulsive samples in the reference cells,
- \bigcirc Generalization of MLEs: $u(t) = -h'_m(t)/h_m(t)$

ONERA

THE FRENCH AEROSPACE LAB

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF M-ESTIMATORS

For any M-estimators and any function H(.), homogeneous of degree 0, i.e. satisfying the property $H(\mathbf{M})=H\left(lpha\,\mathbf{M} ight)$

$$\begin{array}{l} & & \sqrt{N} \left(\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{M}} - \mathbf{M}) \right) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{GCN} \left(\mathbf{0}_{m^{2},1}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{M}, \mathbf{\Omega}_{M} \right), \\ & & \text{where} \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}_{M} = \nu_{1} \, \mathbf{M}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{M} + \nu_{2} \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M}) \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M})^{H}, \\ & & \mathbf{\Omega}_{M} = \nu_{1} \left(\mathbf{M}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{K} + \nu_{2} \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M}) \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M})^{T} \\ & & & \mathbf{V} \left(H \left(\hat{\mathbf{M}} \right) - H(\mathbf{M}) \right) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{GCN} \left(\mathbf{0}_{r,1}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{H}, \mathbf{\Omega}_{H} \right), \\ & & \text{where} \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}_{H} = \nu_{1} \, H'(\mathbf{M}) \left(\mathbf{M}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{M} \right) H'(\mathbf{M})^{H}, \\ & & \mathbf{\Omega}_{H} = \nu_{1} \, H'(\mathbf{M}) \left(\mathbf{M}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{K} \, H'(\mathbf{M})^{T} \\ & & H'(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{M})}{\partial \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M})} \\ \end{array}$$

- any *M*-estimator built with N secondary data (asymptotically) behaves like the SCM in Gaussian environment but with a slight smaller degrees of freedom N/ν_1 ,
- \circ any function H built with a M-estimator behaves like those built with SCM in Gaussian environment but with a slight smaller degrees of freedom N/ν_1 .

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF ANMF BUILT WITH M-ESTIMATORS

When considering the ANMF test which is homogeneous of degree 0,

$$H\left(\hat{\mathbf{M}}\right) = rac{|\mathbf{p}^{H} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{y}|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{p}^{H} \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{p}\right) \left(\mathbf{y}^{H} \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{y}\right)} \overset{H_{1}}{\underset{H_{2}}{\otimes}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{1}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\otimes}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}} \overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}} \overset{H_{$$

one has the following result

$$\sqrt{N}\left(H(\hat{\mathbf{M}}) - H(\mathbf{M})\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{H}\right),$$

ere
$$\Sigma_H = \Omega_H = 2 \nu_1 H(\mathbf{M}) (H(\mathbf{M}) - 1)^2$$

Conditioned to the cell under test y, one obtains the following asymptotic behavior of the ANMF

$$H\left(\hat{\mathbf{M}}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(H(\mathbf{M}), \frac{2\nu_1}{N}H(\mathbf{M})\left(H(\mathbf{M})-1\right)^2\right).$$

S O N R A

Conditionally to a cell under test **y** containing Gaussian noise

$$p_{H(\mathbf{M})}(u) = e^{-\delta} \beta_{1,m-1}(u) {}_1F_1(m,1;u\,\delta) \text{ where } \delta = \alpha^2 \mathbf{p}^H \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$$

Conditionally to a cell under test y containing SIRV noise

$$p_{H(\mathbf{M})}(u) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta/\tau} \beta_{1,m-1}(u) \, {}_1F_1\left(m,1;\frac{u\,\delta}{\tau}\right) \, p_\tau(\tau) \, d\tau$$





Derivation of the asymptotic performance of the robust ANM[#] built with any *M*-estimator

Good approximation validated both by Monte-Carlo and by the correction of the degree of freedom in the Gaussian ANMF statistics
 Valid for quite small number of secondary data

